

Meeting Notes

<i>Date</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Project number</i>	
1/28/04	UC Santa Cruz Long Range Development Plan	03055.00	
<i>Meeting date</i>	<i>Subject</i>	<i>Meeting location</i>	
1/20/04	LRDP Committee Meeting #4	Bay Tree Building	
<i>By</i>	<i>Signed</i>		
Bill MacIntosh			
<i>Present/Copies to</i>	<i>Company</i>	<i>Copies to</i>	<i>Company</i>
(see below)			

1. Introduction

- a. Welcome by Tom Vani, Chair LRDP Committee
- b. Status of University and Community Work Group, Emily Reilly
 - i. Purpose: to communicate official recognition of city concerns and issues, ensure representation of broad array of community perspectives.
 - ii. Web site will assist in this effort.
 - iii. Membership being finalized, currently includes Emily Reilly, Mardi Wormhoudt, Gene Arner, Harriet Deck, Ron Suduiko, Donna Blizter, Liz Irwin. Would like CRP represented.
- c. Student Involvement White Paper, Matt Waxman
 - i. Distributed white paper on Student Involvement, including past conditions, existing conditions, proposed plan of action for student involvement, and important considerations and ideas.
 - ii. Includes proposal for three student-driven forums/workshops.
- d. State Budget status, Meredith Michaels
 - i. Budget will likely have the following impacts:
 - UCSC incoming class 2004-2005 reduced 10%. Result is next year's class about the same as this year's.
 - system wide reduction of 2,400 students
 - Growth assumptions for LRDP 2005-2020 will be extended about a year or so. Not a major change in growth assumptions.
 - Still budget issues to work through at state level.

Meeting Notes

- e. Project status and Schedule, Bill MacIntosh
 - i. Project remains on schedule. Goal to conclude phase 1 with analysis of existing campus and city conditions and assessment of four enrollment scenarios March 19.
 - ii. At that point, Strategic Futures Committee will recommend a preferred enrollment level and program assumptions used to develop development plan options in Phase 2 (March to June 2004).
 - iii. In Phase 3, a preferred option will be developed into the plan, ending January 2005 with the final draft LRDP. EIR process then begins in next phase, to extend to early 2006.

2. Housing and Student Life White Paper Presentation

- a. Jean Marie Scott summarized findings in a slide presentation of key issues in the white paper.
- b. 1988 LRDP goals for on-campus housing: undergraduates 70%; graduates 50%, Resulting average goal for all students: 64%.
- c. UCSC currently houses about 44%. With addition of 652 beds being added with apartments at Cowell, Porter, Stevenson, this will come to 52%.
- d. Other UC Campuses range from 25-50% on-campus student housing.
- e. Typical 4-year public institution average: 20.6%; Typical 4-year private average: 38.6%.
- f. Jean Marie summarized the need for balance between city and university housing needs.
- g. Off-campus locations of student addresses are fairly evenly distributed throughout Santa Cruz, making transit to campus challenging.
- h. The role of the colleges for future housing is another key issue for the campus. What mix of functions?
- i. Future goal for housing is to have inventory slightly more than demand.
- j. Student life: both centralized and decentralized facilities needed, in the core and colleges.
- k. White paper still being developed.

3. Summary of Key White Paper Issues

- a. Alex Cooper presented CRP's overview of key white paper issues that have the most direct physical implications for the LRDP.
- b. Buildable land area on campus is being assessed, taking into account existing development and land use, slopes, environmental considerations, geology, hydrology and views.
- c. Future role of the colleges will be important to understand. To what degree will future academic space continue to be in the colleges versus more a more centralized approach.
- d. Development approach for core: more density at the existing core or expand to the north or south, or both approaches? To be investigated soon.

Meeting Notes

- e. Housing percentage and parking on-campus will also be a key issues for the LRDP.
- f. Issues affecting the community will be addressed by the University and Community Work Group in their white paper.
- g. To CRP, it appears three main community issues to be addressed may be housing, traffic and water based on anecdotal findings to date.
- h. CRP and the sub-consultant will meet with chairs of work groups to develop work plan for assessment of scenarios.

Meeting Notes

4. Existing Campus Analysis

- a. Alex Cooper presented slides of the existing campus analysis, and the resulting framework drawings for campus systems.
- b. The analysis included images of the natural and man-made character of the existing campus.
- c. The campus was shown in a regional context and relative to the city, main transportation routes, transit lines, off-campus UCSC facilities, and addresses for students living off-campus. Park lands around the campus were also mapped.
- d. The analysis of the campus itself included dimension and area information, significant views, topography, sections through the site showing how it is divided between forest and meadow north-south, and by the two main ravines east-west. Since the core is separated from the colleges by ravines, bridges are key to knitting the campus together.
- e. The proposed development of the original 1963 LRDP was contrasted with actual existing development in 2004. Far less area has been developed than envisioned in the 1963 plan which projected 27,500 FTE in 1990.
- f. Current land use boundaries were reviewed. The definition of the core has developed from area inside the loop (Heller, McLaughlin, Hagar and Meyer) to include science and engineering buildings north of McLaughlin.
- g. Walking distances on campus are greatly impacted by topography and natural barriers. An overview of existing walking times from colleges to the core was presented.
- h. Parking, utility infrastructure, the colleges and other housing were analyzed.
- i. The pattern of student social activity was mapped by Matt Waxman and presented.
- j. The analysis drawings were summarized in framework drawings for land use/environment, transportation/circulation, Infrastructure/Technology, Housing/Student Life and University and the Community.

5. Planning Principles

- a. Alex Cooper reviewed planning principles, including language definitions for different types of planning terms- mission, principle, goal, strategy, guidelines.
- b. CRP has reviewed principles from past LRDP's and UCSC planning studies and will send work group chairs a summary of these.
- c. Planning principles will be important for developing a physical plan that supports the broader mission of UCSC and the unique character of the campus. They will be criteria for evaluating the four scenarios.
- d. CRP presented initial 2020 physical planning principles, based on the 1988 LRDP principles and input to date from UCSC. These are a first draft, for use by the work groups as a first step in developing their principles.
- e. One example of a physical planning principle is maintaining the core/college paradigm as the campus grows. It is not yet clear if this can be done for all scenarios. This

Meeting Notes

will be tested. UCSC will also need to define the programmatic nature of future colleges.

- f. Planning principles will be needed in early February.

6. Preliminary Program Assessment

- a. Bill MacIntosh of CRP presented preliminary findings for the existing and proposed LRDP building program.
- b. Program numbers are in-progress, will change. They do not include housing yet.
- c. Existing campus total building floor area (existing and approved projects) is 4,697,000 gross square feet (gsf). Had been 2,459,000 gsf in 1988 (91% growth).
- d. 1988 LRDP Future Development was 7,454,000 gsf, substantially more than current existing and approved.
- e. Main space drivers are Instruction and Research space, 33% of campus total, and College housing, also 33%. Organized research is 3% of total existing and approved floor area.
- f. Approximately 20% of instruction and research space is in the colleges (including Social Sciences in colleges 9 and 10, not including Humanities Building) Approximately 31% of academic support, student services and ORUs are in the colleges.
- g. Preliminary projections for instruction and research space: existing and approved: 914,000 asf; Scenario 1: 1,111,000 asf (+22%), Scenario 2: 1,278,000 asf (+40%), Scenario 3: 1,387,000 asf (+71%); Scenario 4: 1,676,000 asf (+103%).
- h. This is based on California Post secondary Education Commission standards (similar to last LRDP). Campus is currently at 77% CPEC standard.
- i. First preliminary projection for total on-campus floor area other than housing: existing and approved: 2,642,000 gsf Scenario 1: 4,262,000 gsf; Scenario 2: 4,794,000 gsf; Scenario 3: 5,760,000 gsf; Scenario 4: 6,699,000 gsf
- j. Undergraduate housing scenarios were reviewed for 50% and 70% on-campus, with resulting off-campus counts. Existing on campus beds: 6,405, (44% of 14,631 total) with 8,226 existing off-campus beds.
- k. Program will continue to be refined, with input from Strategic Futures Committee. Working program for assessing scenarios will need to be complete February 1.

7. Next steps, Bill MacIntosh, CRP

- a. Public Meeting #2, Wednesday February 25, 6:00 - 9:00 PM, UCSC Inn, Sierra Room
 - i. Agenda to include a session on sustainability and the LRDP, in addition to other items
- b. LRDP Committee Meeting #5, Thursday February 26, 8:30 - 11:00 AM , Bay Tree Conf. Rm.
 - D
 - i. Agenda to include preliminary physical assessment of enrollment scenarios,

Meeting Notes

Committee members present:

Tom Vani, Chair

Pamela Edwards
Emily Reilly
Mardi Wormhoudt
Maggie Fusari
Liz Irwin
Gene Arner
Larry Merkley
Charlie Eadie
Harriet Deck
Mike Bolte
Gail Heit
Francisco Hernandez
Donna Blitzer
Ilse Kolbus
Robert Miller
James Sheldon
Jack Zimmermann
Jean Marie Scott
Wes Scott
Christina Valentino
Matt Waxman
Frank Zwart
Peggy Delany
Fran Owens
Steve Kang
Meredith Michaels

Members Not Present

Leslie Sunell
Wlad Godzich
Amy Everitt
Beau Willis
Gary Griggs
David Rinehart
Carl Walsh
Gary Glatzmaier

Guests:

Ron Suduiko

Staff present:

John Barnes
Teresa Buika
Dean Fitch
Galen Jarvinen

Consultants present:

Alex Cooper
Bill MacIntosh
Takeshi Kamiya